Application No. 09/936,275

Amendment After Allowance Dated May 17, 2004

In Reply to USPTO Notice of Drawing Inconsistency with Specification Dated April 29, 2004

Confirmation No. 3957

Attorney Docket No. 115-011459

<u>REMARKS</u>

The Notice, dated April 29, 2004, has been reviewed and the contents of the

Notice have been carefully reviewed. In particular, the Notice indicated that the drawings,

filed on December 21, 2001, have been received, however, an inconsistency exists between

the drawings in the Brief Description of the Drawings section of the specification. In

particular, Figures 5 and 6 are contained in the Drawings, but are not listed in the Brief

Description of the Drawings section. Applicant is required to correct this inconsistency one

month from the April 29, 2004 mailing date of the Notice, which brings this response due on

or before May 29, 2004. Accordingly, this Amendment is timely filed.

Through the foregoing amendment, Applicant has amended the Brief

Description of the Drawings section in the specification. Specifically, Figures 5 and 6, which

were part of the originally-filed specification, have been appropriately identified in this

section. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the foregoing amendment cures the

inconsistencies and constitutes a complete response to the issued Notice. If any further

information or clarification is required, the undersigned respectfully requests a telephone call

or further communication from the Patent Office in due course.

Respectfully submitted,

WEBB ZIESENHEIM LOGSDON ORKIN & HANSON, P.C.

 $By_{\underline{}}$

Nathan J. Prepelka

Registration No. 43,016

Attorney for Applicant

700 Koppers Building

436 Seventh Avenue

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219-1818

Telephone: 412-471-8815

Facsimile: 412-471-4094

E-mail: webblaw@webblaw.com

Page 3 of 3